SHEPHERD, FINKELMAN, MILLER 1 & SHAH, LLP Kolin Tang (SBN 279834) 11755 Wilshire Blvd., 15th Floor 3 Los Angeles, CA 90025 Telephone: (323) 510-4060 Facsimile: (866) 300-7367 5 Email: ktang@sfmslaw.com 6 [Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page] 7 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 BILL SCHEPLER, Individually 12 CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:18-cv-6043and On Behalf of All Others GW-AFM 13 Similarly Situated, 14 Plaintiff. AMENDED CLASS ACTION 15 **COMPLAINT** VS. 16 AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC., 17 JURY TRIAL DEMANDED Defendant. 18 19 20 Plaintiff, Bill Schepler ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, files 21 this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated against 22 Defendant, American Honda Motor Co., Inc. ("Defendant"), and alleges as 23 follows: 24 NATURE OF THE ACTION 25 Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of a proposed 1. 26 nationwide class (more fully defined below) for the benefit and protection of 27 purchasers and lessees of Defendant's model years 2017 and 2018 Honda CR-

Amended Class Action Complaint

1

28

2:18-cv-6043-GW-AFM

13

14

12

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

Vs ("CR-V(s)" or "Vehicles"). As alleged herein, Defendant deceptively markets and advertises the CR-V as having a seating capacity of five and having, as one of its passive safety features, 3-point seat belts at all seating positions when, in fact, it does not, because if there are three adult passengers or even a single car seat, the three passengers cannot simultaneously buckle their seat belts safely, in direct contradiction to Defendant's marketing and advertisements, owner's manual and warranty. Further, the utilization of a car seat can compromise the ability to maintain the buckled status of the belts. This causes a safety issue as it prevents customers from safely seating five people in a CR-V at any given time.

- Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and all other 2. similarly-situated consumers to stop Defendant's false and misleading advertising relating to the sale of the CR-Vs and to obtain redress for those who have purchased CR-Vs across the United States. Plaintiff alleges violations of the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act, Civil Code § 1750, et seq. ("CLRA"); the Unfair Competition Law, California Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq. ("UCL"); and the False Advertising Law, California Business and Professions Code § 17500, et seq. ("FAL"). In the alternative, Plaintiff alleges violations of the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practice Act, 815 ILCS 505/1, et seq. ("ICFDBPA"); violations of the Illinois Uniform Deceptive Trade Practice Act, 815 ILCS 510/2, et seq. ("IUDTPA"); and for unjust enrichment on behalf of an alternative Illinois state class, defined below.
- 3. At all relevant times, Defendant has deceptively marketed, advertised, and sold the CR-Vs as vehicles with a seating capacity of five with 3-point seat belts at all seating positions, when, in fact, the three backseat seat belts cannot safely and without overlap be used simultaneously, with the use of even one car seat.

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. 4. § 1332(d)(2)(A) because the claims relating to the matter in controversy exceed \$5 million, exclusive of interest and costs, the proposed class has at least 100 members, and this is a class action in which certain of the class members (including Plaintiff) and Defendant are citizens of different states.
- Venue is proper in this judicial District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 5. because Defendant is a resident of this judicial District and does business throughout this District and a substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in or emanated from this District.
- At all pertinent times, Defendant was engaged in the marketing, 6. advertisement, and sale of CR-Vs, which are the subject of this lawsuit, in this District and throughout the United States.

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff is, and, at all times relevant to this action has been, a resident of Sycamore, Illinois, and, thus, is a citizen of Illinois.
- 8. Defendant is a North American subsidiary of the Honda Motor Company, Ltd., and was founded in 1959. Defendant is headquartered in Torrance, California, and, thus, is a citizen of California. Defendant markets and sells the CR-Vs throughout the United States, including in this District.

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

- This is an action brought against Defendant on behalf of Plaintiff 9. and all persons who purchased or leased a CR-V in the United States, and, in the alternative, in Illinois.
- 10. The CR-V is a compact crossover SUV and is Defendant's midrange utility vehicle, originally introduced into the North American market in 1997.

11 12

13 14

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

- 11. Defendant deceptively markets and advertises the CR-V as having a seating capacity of five with 3-point seat belts at all seating positions.¹
- On November 30, 2016, Defendant issued a press release regarding 12. the specifications and features of the model year 2017 CR-V, which listed a seating capacity of five.²
- The model year 2017 CR-V was substantially redesigned and reengineered from the previous model, but the model year 2018 CR-V was essentially unchanged from the 2017 model.³ The backseat seat belt buckle configuration was among the features that remained the same between the 2017 and 2018 models.
- 14. Defendant published a brochure advertising the features and benefits of the 2018 CR-V, which included a description of the vehicle as having "[e]xcellence in every detail," including "spacious seating for five."⁴
- One feature of a vehicle that all consumers are aware of prior to 15. purchasing or leasing a vehicle is the vehicle's seating capacity.
- The 2017 CR-V Owner's Guide and 2018 Owner's Manual (the 16. "Manual(s)") each contain entire sections dedicated to the seat belt features of the CR-V.5 Both Manuals specifically state that "[a]ll five seating positions are

¹ Model information, http://owners.honda.com/vehicles/information/2018/CR-V/specs#mid^ RW1H5JJW (listing the specifications for the 2018 Honda CR-V, including passive safety features) (last visited July 10, 2018).

² Honda, 2017 Honda CR-V Press Kit Specifications & Features, http://hondanews.com/releases/2017- honda-cr-v-press-kit-specifications-features?page size=60&page=5 (last visited July 10, 2018).

³ See Car And Driver, 2018 Honda CR-V, https://www.caranddriver.com/reviews/2018-honda-cr-v-in- depth-model-review (last visited July 10, 2018).

²⁰¹⁸ CR-V Brochure at 4 (available for download at https://automobiles.honda.com/crv?from=crv.honda.com#) (last visited July 10, 2018).

⁵ See CR-V 2017 Owner's Guide, http://techinfo.honda.com/rjanisis/pubs/QS/AH/ATLA1717OG/enu/ ATLA1717OG.PDF at 5-8 (last accessed October 11, 2018 [hereinafter "2017 Guide"]; CR-V 2018 Owner's Manual,

http://techinfo.honda.com/rjanisis/pubs/QS/AH/ATLA1818OG/enu/ATLA1818OG.PDF at 40-48 (last accessed July 10, 2018 [hereinafter, "2018 Manual"].

equipped with lap/shoulder seat belts with emergency locking retractors." The

Manuals also provide instructions on how to fasten a seat belt by "[i]nsert[ing]

promise and warrant that it has a capacity of five, with two in front and three in

in its vehicles and provides the following "warnings" in its Manual: 1) "Not

wearing a seat belt properly increases the chance of a serious injury or death in a

crash, even though your vehicle has airbags. Be sure you and your passengers

always wear seat belts and always wear them properly;" and 2) "Make sure all

in car seats in the rear seats, which it states is the "safest place for a child," and,

in fact, provides, *inter alia*, the following warnings in its Manual: 1) "Children

who are unrestrained or improperly restrained can be seriously injured or killed

in a crash;" and 2) "Always place a rear-facing child seat in the rear seat, not the

LATCH-Compatible seat or using the lap/shoulder belt. The 2017 Manual

provides that LATCH -Compatible seats can be installed at any of the rear seat

positions. 10 However, according to the 2018 Manual, the CR-V will only allow a

LATCH-Compatible seat to be installed in either of the two outer rear seats (but

seatbelts are properly positioned before driving."8

Honda recognizes the utter necessity of the proper use of seat belts

Honda also recognizes that the CR-V will be used to hold children

The CR-V provides two methods of installing a child seat, using a

the latch plate into the buckle" and warn to "[m]ake sure that the belt is not

twisted or caught on anything." Further, the specifications of the Vehicle

3

7

back.

17.

18.

19.

10 11

12

13

15

16

17

front."9

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

26

27

28

⁶ 2017 Guide at 8; 2018 Manual at 40.

²⁵ ⁷ 2017 Guide at 10; 2018 Manual at 7.

⁸ 2017 Guide at 8-10; 2018 Manual at 5-7.

⁹ 2017 Guide at 18-21; 2018 Manual at 15-17.

¹⁰2017 Guide at 21.

11 2018 Manual at 18-19.

2:18-cv-6043-GW-AFM

not the middle rear seat), while a seat or using the lap/shoulder belt can be installed in any rear seat.¹¹

20. From the early days of child restraint regulation, the center rear seat position has been considered the safest place in the car, since it is farthest from the exterior of the vehicle and therefore furthest from impact and intrusion from any direction. If there are two other passengers in the back seat however, that safety configuration is not available in the Honda CR-V.

21. Further, when child seats are installed in the rear outboard occupant positions, the middle passenger must somehow squeeze between the two child seats and then attempt to buckle their seat belt. Insertion of the center rear latch plate into the buckle is extremely difficult due to the lack of lateral space for the center rear occupant. Further, access to this buckle to release it during a vehicle fire or water immersion or vehicle crash would be extremely difficult for the occupant and likely impossible for others trying to release the occupant under these emergency conditions.



7

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

26

27

¹⁴ See 2017 Guide at 11; 2018 Manual at 46.

¹³ 2017 Warranty Book at 28.

¹² Warranty Booklet for 2017 CR-V at 9,

28 ¹⁵ 2017 Guide at 11. 2:18-cv-6043-GW-AFM

- 22. Honda's creation of, and false representations about, the situation where the seat belt cannot be worn, because it is not accessible or otherwise blocked by the existence of a child seat in the Vehicle, is a breach of its warranty that it states is providing a five-seater car that actually has five usable, safe seats.
- 23. The CR-Vs are covered by a three-year, 36,000-mile warranty, under which Defendant will repair or replace any part that is defective in material or workmanship under normal use.¹²
- 24. Honda also provides a Seat Belt Limited Warranty that continues for 15 years or 150,000 miles and states that "Honda believes that the best way to enhance your safety is to use your seat belt." 13 However, Honda sells its CR-V knowing that if there is a car seat in the rear, there cannot be two others safely buckled in the rear seat belts as warranted by Honda.
- 25. CR-V backseat seat belts are deployed such that the rear driver'sside seat belt and rear passenger's-side seat belt are retractable to the side of the vehicle nearest the passenger's shoulder. The seat belt for the rear middle seat, however, is a seat belt with a detachable anchor, which retracts into the ceiling of the vehicle. 14 There is an "anchor buckle" to the middle passenger's left wherein a small latch plate may be buckled. The passenger may then proceed to fasten the seat belt normally, inserting the large latch plate into the buckle to the passenger's right. The following images and instructions from the 2017 Guide illustrate:15

http://owners.honda.com/Documentum/Warranty/Handbooks/AWL 02971 2017 Honda Warranty B

asebook KA FINAL.pdf [2017 Warranty Booklet] (last visited July 10, 2018); Warranty Booklet

for 2018 CR-V at 9, http://owners.honda.com/Documentum/Warranty/Handbooks/2018 Honda Warranty Basebook AW L05251 FINAL.pdf (last visited July 10, 2018) (collectively hereinafter, "Warranty Booklets").

Amended Class Action Complaint

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

SAFETY

Seat Belt with Detachable Anchor

The seat belt for the rear center seat is located in the ceiling.

 Pull out the seat belt's small latch plate and the latch plate from each holding slot in the ceiling.



Line up the triangle marks on the small latch plate and anchor buckle. Make sure the seat belt is not twisted. Attach the belt to the anchor buckle.



 Insert the latch plate into the buckle. Properly fasten the seat belt the same way you fasten the lap/shoulder seat belt.

To unlatch the detachable anchor, insert the latch plate into the slot on the side of the anchor buckle.





AWARNING

Using the seat belt with the detachable anchor unlatched increases the chance of serious injury or death in a crash.

Before using the seat belt, make sure the detachable anchor is correctly latched.

1 31

However, the configuration of the buckles is such that the middle 26. passenger's anchor buckle is to the left of the rear driver's-side passenger's buckle, rendering it impossible for the anchor buckle and rear driver's-side passenger's buckle to be utilized at the same time, without both of the seat belts overlapping, twisting, or catching. As seen in the picture of Plaintiff's CR-V's backseat below, the rear driver's-side passenger's buckle is located closest to the middle seat passenger, while the middle seat passenger's anchor buckle is located closest to the rear driver's-side passenger:



27. The middle seat passenger is unable to secure the small latch plate in the anchor buckle without causing the seat belt to get caught on, overlap with or become twisted with the seat belt of the rear driver's-side passenger, which contradicts Honda's own safety warnings in its owner's Manuals.



28. Further, if there is a car seat in use, three passengers cannot safely sit in the rear. The CR-V's narrow anchorage points for seat belts limit the ability to properly and safely use them to install various types of child seats or child restraints without obstructing access to the left outboard buckle. For example, when the car seat is installed using lower anchorages in the center rear occupant position (which was allowed per the 2017 Owner's Manual), there is no easy access to the Vehicle's adjacent seat belt buckles.

29. A child seat in the center position either partially or completely blocks access to the left outboard buckle. This prevents the left rear seat belt from being worn in some cases or makes it difficult to buckle, as illustrated by the following:



30. Due to the position of the left rear seat belt's buckle some of the rear bottom corners of child seats installed in the center rear could compress the left rear buckle's push button and cause the buckle to release the latch plate, as illustrated by the following:







When the J1819 fixture is buckled in the center seat, there is no 31. room to access the seat belts, as illustrated by the following:



With child seats installed in the outboard positions, there is only seven 32. inches of clearance between the two child seats for the center rear occupant. This is clearly insufficient and unsafe, as illustrated by the following:







33. As a result, Plaintiff cannot safely transport three passengers in the backseat of his CR-V.

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

- 34. This situation prevents CR-V passengers from complying with the safety warnings about the use of car seats and seat belts in the Manuals and presents a safety hazard to passengers in the backseat of the CR-Vs.
- 35. Accordingly, Defendant's advertised statements that the CR-V has a seating capacity of five with 3-point seat belts at all seating positions are false and deceptive.¹⁶

Plaintiff's Experience with his CR-V

- 36. On or about December 19, 2017, Plaintiff purchased a model year 2018 CR-V from Brian Bemis Honda Mercedes Benz Volvo in Sycamore, Illinois, an authorized agent of Defendant (the "Dealership"). One of the reasons Plaintiff purchased the CR-V was the fact that he has five grandchildren and he wanted a vehicle with a seating capacity of five so that he and his wife could safely transport their family, including their grandchildren in their car seats.
- 37. Prior to purchase, on November 30, 2017, Plaintiff reviewed an online brochure published by Defendant, titled MY 18 CR-V Brochure Online Mech1, which, among other things, touted the Vehicle's safety and represented that the CR-V had "spacious seating for five" and "seats five comfortably."
- Soon after purchase, Plaintiff realized that the rear driver's-side 38. seat belt buckle was "backward" and that the rear driver's-side passenger could not buckle his or her seat belt without overlapping the middle passenger's anchor buckle.
- 39. Concerned for the safety of his backseat passengers, Plaintiff took his CR-V to the Dealership on May 10, 2018, for the Dealership's recommendation on how to address or repair the issue.

¹⁶ See Model Information, supra note 1.

11

10

13

12

15

14

16 17

18

20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27

28

- 40. The Dealership photographed the buckle configuration and sent that picture to Defendant along with an explanation that the CR-V "cannot have three rear passengers in the backseat at the same time per Honda rep." The Dealership also noted that the seat belts overlap and twist, which poses a "safety concern per the owner's Manual." A copy of the service invoice is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 41. Defendant did not repair or otherwise correct the defect in the CR-V in order to permit Plaintiff to safely seat three backseat passengers, including in child seats, simultaneously, and refuses to do so.
- 42. Plaintiff would not have purchased the CR-V, or would not have paid the purchase price that he did, had he known that he would not be able to use the CR-V's full seating capacity and would not be able to safely transport three passengers, including with car seats, simultaneously in the backseat of his CR-V.
- 43. Plaintiff continues to desire to purchase and use the compact sports utility vehicle from Honda that is suitable for safely transporting three passengers, including those in car seats, in the back seat, and would purchase a compact sports utility vehicle from Honda that is actually suitable for transporting three passengers, including those in car seats, safely in the back seat. Plaintiff's desire is based on the number of his grandchildren and need to transport all of them safely.
- 44. Plaintiff is continually presented with Honda's representations about its vehicles, including the CR-Vs, but has no way of determining whether the representations about the actual seating capacity are in fact true.

Class Members' Experiences with the CR-Vs

45. Plaintiff's experience mirrors those of numerous other CR-V purchasers. The internet contains numerous complaints from owners who, like Plaintiff, were unable to safely seat all three backseat passengers

simultaneously, including when using a car seat, because the seat belts overlapped and twisted. The following is a sample of complaints appearing in several online forums:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

2

3

Just picked my wife's new 2017 CRV Touring on 1/14/2017 and have issues with the 2nd row seating. The 2nd row center seat belt detachable anchor point and 2nd row left seat belt buckle seem to be crossed in the lower seat. Is this a mfg. error or poor design makes it difficult for the left seat passenger to connect or disconnect their seat belt with the center passenger buckled in. Took the CRV back to the dealer today and they checked a number of 2017 CRV's on the lot and they are all the same, crossed over. Dealer told me they would contact Honda's support center and let me know in a few days. Seems like a NTSB safety recall or Honda TSB is needed to correct the issue. Has anyone heard back from Honda on the issue? I missing the reason for the crossed over design?

12

11

Posted by Ken R. on January 16, 2017 on http://www.crvownersclub.com/forums/27-miscellaneous-general-cr-vdiscussions/129081-2017-crv-2nd-row-center-seat-belt-detachableanchor-issue.html (last visited July 10, 2018).

13

14

15

My brand new 2017 CRV touring appears to have the detachable anchor for the 2nd row center seat belt crossed with the 2nd row left seat belt buckle. This configuration causes the center belt and the left seat belt to cross one another and also makes it difficult for the left seat passenger to connect or disconnect their seat belt with the center passenger buckled in. This configuration does not allow the person sitting behind the driver to wear their seat belt when my child's infant seat is buckled into the center as the buckle cannot be accessed. This is a huge safety concern as I travel frequently with my infant daughter, son, and son's friend in the back seat. There have been multiple other complaints about this which can be

22 googled. Something needs to be done here.

23 24

21

Posted by an anonymous CR-V owner in Verona, WI on January 26, 2017 on https://www.carcomplaints.com/Honda/CR-V/2017/seat belts air bags/seat belts.shtml (last visited July 10, 2018).

26

25

The contact owns a 2017 Honda CR-V. [W]hile attempting to use the rear passenger side seat belt, it was discovered that in order to latch and secure

the buckle, the belt had to under lap the rear seat center belt. The issue made it very difficult to lock and unlock the seat belt. The vehicle was taken to the dealer ([A]utonation Honda in [W]estminster, [CO]), but the cause of the failure was unable to be determined. The manufacturer was notified of the failure. The failure mileage was 6,000.

Posted by an anonymous CR-V owner in Frederick, CO on May 1, 2017 on https://www.carcomplaints.com/Honda/CR-V/2017/seat belts air bags/seat belts.shtml (last visited July 10, 2018).

I have the same issue. The dealer told me it's correct. But my passengers can't buckle in.

Posted by teresalies on May 10, 2017 on http://www.crvownersclub.com/forums/27-miscellaneous-general-cr-v-discussions/129081-2017-crv-2nd-row-center-seat-belt-detachable-anchor-issue.html (last visited July 10, 2018).

The rear left and middle seat belts overlap. The vehicle is advertised as a 5 seater vehicle but cannot safely be used for 5 passengers, or with a car seat in either of those seats with a passenger in the other one.

Posted by an anonymous CR-V owner in Los Angeles, CA on May 13, 2017 on https://www.carcomplaints.com/Honda/CR-V/2017/seat_belts_air_bags/seat_belts.shtml (last visited July 10, 2018).

Where left rear passenger attaches seat belt & where center rear passenger attaches seatbelt from headliner, the fasteners in the seat are switched. If center passenger buckles up first or if carseat is in center position it is nearly impossible for left rear passenger to buckle up or unbuckle. This is due to the attachment points being switched when designed [and/]or manufactured. Honda dealer says the connections are in proper location & belt is operating as designed. This will most likely cause one of five passengers in this vehicle to not be able to buckle up or unbuckle in an emergency[.]

Posted by an anonymous CR-V owner in Baytown, TX on May 25, 2017 on https://www.carcomplaints.com/Honda/CR-V/2017/seat_belts_air_bags/seat_belts.shtml (last visited July 10, 2018).

I just picked mine up last week and my 8 year old pointed out the seatbelt configuration. The dealer said that they were all like that but weren't sure why.

Posted by mattkc2016 on October 18, 2017 on http://www.crvownersclub.com/forums/27-miscellaneous-general-cr-v-discussions/129081-2017-crv-2nd-row-center-seat-belt-detachable-anchor-issue.html (last visited July 10, 2018).

2018 is still configured this way. How in the heck is it possible to correct this issue? This is supposed to be a five passenger vehicle but the belt layout just about makes that impossible. Honda needs to come up with a solution to this problem.

Posted by Rudyjr on March 6, 2018 on http://www.crvownersclub.com/forums/27-miscellaneous-general-cr-v-discussions/129081-2017-crv-2nd-row-center-seat-belt-detachable-anchor-issue.html (last visited July 10, 2018).

The rear center passenger's left seat belt buckle anchor is reversed making it nearly impossible to properly buckle 3 passengers in the back seat. This is a safety hazard as my center passengers, finding it a herculean feat when seated, often decline to buckle up. . . . [W]hen buckled [without] a passenger, the belts overlap. Because of this the center passenger's body prevents access to the buckle as it is hidden behind the strap of the left rear passenger. . . .

Posted by an anonymous CR-V owner on June 13, 2018 on https://www.vehie.com/complaints/honda?model=cr-v (last visited July 10, 2018).

Seat Belt problem of the 2018 Honda CR-V 8

Failure Date: 03/18/2018, Posted by an anonymous CR-V owner The rear seat belt anchor is reversed on the center buckle. The anchor is on the center passenger's left side and the latch on their right. The rear driver's side passenger's latch is on their right, but on the far right side of the anchor. When a passenger or car seat is belted into the center seat, the seat belts obstruct each other, and when forced to latch, appear quite dangerous.

 $\underline{http://www.carproblemzoo.com/honda/cr-v/seat-belt-problems.php}$

1

4

6

5

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

15 16

17

18

20

19

21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28

Seat Belt problem of the 2017 Honda CR-V 20

Failure Date: 10/06/2017, Posted by an anonymous CR-V owner The left rear and center rear seat belt buckles, are opposite of what they should be. This arrangement makes it impossible for the left rear passenger, to buckle up if the center seat person is buckled in, or a car seat is in place. Contacted my Honda service department and they forwarded my complaint to the district representative, his response was he is aware of the issue but there is no fix for the problem. I did some research and found that the Acura rdx is the same platform as the Honda Cr-v. So I visited the nearest Acura dealership, the seat belt buckle arrangement was correct on that Acura rdx. Looks like the anchor point and the buckles are exactly the same as the ones that are in my Honda Cr-v. Would be willing to bet that the Acura seat belt assembly would solve the rear seat belt issue on these Honda's, the assembly is only \$66.00!

http://www.carproblemzoo.com/honda/cr-v/seat-belt-problems.php

- 46. Defendant had knowledge that its misrepresentations regarding the 2017 model year CR-V being a five seater were misleading and yet continued to make the same misrepresentations regarding the 2018 model year CR-V to Plaintiff and the class members, although it is in reality a four seater vehicle.
- Defendant's marketing and advertising practices are clearly meant 47. to mislead consumers as to seating capacity and passive safety features of the CR-V. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the class have suffered and continue to suffer injury in fact, ascertainable loss, and lost money. Defendant, despite having knowledge that its representations are misleading to Plaintiff and the class, continues to market and advertise the CR-Vs in a deceptive manner.
- 48. Plaintiff and the class are at risk of suffering further injury if the relief sought is not granted.

California Contacts

Defendant is headquartered in Torrance, California, located at 1919 49. Torrance Boulevard, Torrance, CA 90501.

3

11 12

10

13

14

15

16 17

18

20

21

19

22

24

23

26

25

- 50. Defendant does substantial business in California, with a significant portion of the proposed nationwide class located in California.
- California hosts a significant portion of Defendant's U.S. 51. operations, including sales and service offices and financial service offices, among others.
- 52. In addition, the conduct that forms the basis for each and every class member's claims against Defendant emanated from Defendant's headquarters in California and is consistent with directives of Defendant's personnel in California.
- 53. Defendant's marketing and advertising personnel are located at its California headquarters, and the advertising and marketing schemes detailing the seating capacity and passive safety features of the CR-V were made and implemented from there.
- 54. Defendant's California personnel implemented its deceptive advertising scheme and have refused to repair the seat belt misconfiguration in Plaintiff's CR-V.
- 55. Defendant's personnel responsible for communicating with dealers regarding known problems with defective vehicles are also located at the California headquarters, and the decision not to inform authorized dealers of the backseat seat belt buckle misconfiguration was made and implemented from there.
- Defendant has significant contacts with the State of California, such 56. that nationwide application of California law is appropriate. Further, the conduct at issue herein emanated from California such that application of California law nationwide is appropriate.
- As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and members of the class have suffered injury in fact and have otherwise suffered damages and been

5

6 7

10 11

12

14

13

15 16

17

19

18

20

21 22

23

24

26

27

28

harmed and will continue to be harmed in the future unless Defendant is held accountable through this litigation.

Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief, actual damages, disgorgement of 58. profits, statutory damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other relief available to the class, as defined herein.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- Plaintiff brings this lawsuit, both individually and as a class action, 59. on behalf of similarly-situated purchasers of CR-Vs, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) and (3).
- The classes ("Class" or "Classes") that Plaintiff seeks to represent 60. are defined as follows:

Nationwide Class:

All owners and lessees of Defendant's model year 2017 and/or 2018 CR-Vs in the United States.

Alternative Illinois State Class:

All owners and lessees of Defendant's model year 2017 and/or 2018 CR-Vs in Illinois.

Excluded from the Class are Defendant, as well as Defendant's affiliates, employees, officers and directors, and the Judge to whom this case is assigned. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the definition of the Class if discovery and/or further investigation reveal that the Class should be expanded or otherwise modified.

61. Numerosity/Impracticability of Joinder: There are so many members of the Class that joinder of all members is impracticable. The reported sales of the Honda CR-V in the United States were 377,895 vehicles in 2017¹⁷ and 117,951 vehicles for the first five months of 2018.¹⁸ Plaintiff estimates that

¹⁷ See Honda, American Honda Sets 3rd Straight Annual Sales Record with Best-Ever December for http://hondanews.com/releases/american-honda-sets-3rd-straight-annual-sales-record-withbest-ever-december?query=united+states+sales+CR-V+2017 (last visited Oct. 15, 2018).

¹⁸See Honda, American Honda Sets New June Sales Records on Strength of Light Trucks, 2:18-cv-6043-GW-AFM Amended Class Action Complaint 22

6

4

8

11

10

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

there are thousands of members in the Class. The members of the Class are readily identifiable from information and records in Defendant's possession, custody, or control. The disposition of these claims will provide substantial benefits to the members of the Class.

- **Commonality and Predominance:** There is a well-defined 62. community of interest and common questions of law and fact that predominate over any question affecting only individual members of the Class. These common legal and factual questions, which do not vary from members of the Class, and which may be determined without reference to the individual circumstances of any members of the Class, include, but are not limited, to the following:
 - whether Defendant's marketing, advertising and promotion of its a) CR-Vs was false and misleading;
 - whether Defendant concealed facts from Plaintiff and members of b) the Class about the seating capacity and passive safety features of the CR-Vs:
 - whether Defendant knew, or should have known, that its c) representations were false, or that the representations omitted material information;
 - whether Defendant's conduct was a violation of the CLRA; d)
 - whether Defendant's conduct was a violation of the UCL; e)
 - f) whether Defendant's conduct was a violation of the FAL;
 - whether Defendant's conduct was a violation of the ICFDBPA; g)
 - h) whether Defendant's conduct was a violation of the IUDTPA;
 - whether Defendant's conduct was a breach of express warranty; i)
 - whether Defendant has been unjustly enriched by its conduct, as 1) alleged herein;
 - whether Defendant's conduct as alleged herein violates public k) policy; and
 - whether Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to 1)

http://hondanews.com/releases/american-honda-sets-new-june-sales-records-on-strength-of-lighttrucks?query=honda+CR-V+sales+2018 (last visited Oct. 15, 2018).

8

7

10

9

12

11

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

27 28 damages, restitution, equitable relief and/or other damages and other relief, and, if so, the amount and nature of such relief.

- 63. **Typicality and Adequacy:** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the proposed Class, and Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the proposed Class. Plaintiff does not have any interests antagonistic to those of the Class. Plaintiff's counsel are experienced in the prosecution of this type of litigation. The questions of law and fact common to the members of the Class, some of which are set out above, predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class.
- **Superiority:** A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The expense and burden of individual litigation would make it impracticable or impossible for members of the Class to prosecute their claims individually. The litigation and trial of the Class-wide claims are manageable.
- 65. Unless a class is certified, Defendant will improperly retain monies that is received from Plaintiff and members of the Class as a result of its conduct. Unless Defendant is required to change its unfair and deceptive practices, it will continue to commit the violations and the members of the Class, and the general public, will continue to be misled.
- 66. Defendant has acted and refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

Violation of Consumers Legal Remedies Act – California Civil Code § 1750, et seq. On Behalf of the Nationwide Class

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every preceding 67. paragraph as though fully set forth herein.
- This cause of action is brought under the CLRA. Plaintiff and the Class are consumers as defined by California Civil Code § 1761(d), and the CR-2:18-cv-6043-GW-AFM Amended Class Action Complaint 24

> 4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

Vs constitute goods within the meaning of the CLRA.

- 69. Defendant violated and continues to violate the CLRA by engaging in the following deceptive practices proscribed by California Civil Code § 1770(a) in connection with transactions intended to result in, and that did result in, the sale of the CR-Vs to Plaintiff and members of the Class in violation of, *inter alia*, the following provisions:
 - a) Representing that the goods have characteristics, uses, or benefits which they do not have (Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(5));
 - Representing that the goods are of a particular standard, quality, or grade if they are of another (Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(7));
 - Advertising goods with the intent not to sell them as advertised (Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(9));
 - d) Representing that a transaction involves rights, remedies, or obligations that it does not have or involve (Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(14); and
 - e) Representing that the goods have been supplied in accordance with a previous representation when they have not (Cal. Civ. Code $\S 1770(a)(16)$).
- Plaintiff and other Class members, in purchasing and using the CR-Vs, did reasonably act in response to Defendant's above representations or would have considered the omitted facts set forth herein material to their purchasing decision. Plaintiff and the Class have suffered damages by the wrongful acts and practices of Defendant that are in violation of California Civil Code § 1781.
- The representations regarding the CR-Vs were material to Plaintiff 71. and members of the Class. Defendant intended that Plaintiff and Class members would rely on these representations and they did, in fact, rely on the representations.
- 72. In accordance with California Civil Code § 1780(a), Plaintiff and the Class seek injunctive relief for Defendant's violations of the CLRA.
 - 73. In accordance with California Civil Code §§ 1782(a) and (d),

5

7

6

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff has provided Defendant with the appropriate notice and demand, but Defendant has denied the existence of a defect and refused to provide any relief to Plaintiff or the Class.

- In compliance with Cal. Civ. Code § 1780(d), Plaintiff's affidavit of venue is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- Plaintiff seeks for himself and the Class compensatory and punitive damages under the CLRA and also to recover attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to California Civil Code §§ 1780 and 1781.

False and Misleading Advertising in Violation of California Business and Professions Code § 17500, et seq. On Behalf of the Nationwide Class

- 76. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every preceding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.
- 77. Defendant's acts and practices as described herein have deceived and/or are likely to deceive members of the Class and the public. Defendant has advertised and stated that the CR-Vs have a seating capacity of five, with three people sitting in the back seat, and has 3-point seat belts at all seating positions, when, in fact, they do not, because if there is one car seat, or if there are three adult back seat passengers, all three cannot simultaneously buckle their seat belts safely without overlapping or twisting at least one of the seat belts, in direct contradiction to Defendant's marketing and advertisements.
- By its actions, Defendant has and continues to disseminate uniform false advertising concerning the CR-Vs, which advertisements, by their nature, are unfair, deceptive, untrue, or misleading within the meaning of the FAL. Such advertisements are likely to deceive, and continue to deceive, the consuming public for the reasons detailed above.
- 79. The above-described false, misleading, and deceptive advertising Defendant disseminated continues to have the likelihood to deceive in that

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

- Defendant has failed to disclose the true and actual nature of the CR-Vs. Defendant has failed to initiate a public information campaign to alert
- consumers of the CR-Vs' true nature, which continues to create a misleading perception of the CR-Vs and their advertised safety features.
- In making and disseminating the statements alleged herein, 80. Defendant should have known its advertisements were untrue and misleading, in violation of the FAL. Plaintiff and the Class members based their decisions to purchase the CR-Vs, in substantial part, on Defendant's misrepresentations and omissions regarding the true nature of the seating capacity and safety features of the CR-Vs. The revenues to Defendant attributable to the CR-Vs sold using those false and misleading advertisements amount to substantial monies paid for the Vehicles. Plaintiff and the Class were injured in fact and lost money as a result.
- 81. Defendant intended for Plaintiff and Class members to rely on these representations and omissions and Plaintiff and Class members consequently did rely on Defendant's misrepresentations and omissions.
- The misrepresentations and non-disclosures by Defendant of the 82. material facts detailed above constitute false and misleading advertising and, therefore, are violations of the FAL.
- As a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and Class members request that this Court enjoin Defendant from continuing to violate the FAL. Such conduct is ongoing and continues to this date. Plaintiff and the Class are, therefore, entitled to the relief described below as appropriate for this cause of action.

3

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13 14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28

Unlawful, Unfair, and Fraudulent Business Practices in Violation of California Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq. On Behalf of the Nationwide C

- 84. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every preceding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.
- The UCL defines unfair competition to include any "unfair," 85. "unlawful," or "fraudulent" business act or practice.
- 86. Defendant violated, and continues to violate, the UCL by misrepresenting the CR-Vs as having a seating capacity of five with 3-point seat belts at all seating positions, when, in fact, they do not, because, if there is one car seat, or if there are three adult backseat passengers, all three cannot simultaneously buckle their seat belts safely, without unsafe overlapping or twisting of at least one of the seat belts, in direct contradiction to Defendant's marketing and advertisements.
- 87. By engaging in the above-described acts and practices, Defendant has committed an unfair business practice within the meaning of the UCL. Consumers have suffered substantial injury they could not reasonably have avoided other than by not purchasing the CR-Vs.
- 88. Defendant's acts and practices have deceived and/or are likely to deceive Class members and the public and thus constitute a fraudulent business practice. Defendant uniformly marketed and advertised CR-Vs as having a seating capacity of five and having 3-point seat belts at all seating positions, when, in fact, they do not, because, if there is one car seat, or if there are three adult backseat passengers, all three backseat passengers cannot simultaneously buckle their seat belts safely without overlapping or twisting at least one of the seat belts and despite the fact that Defendant knew, or should have known, of the unsafe and unworkable configuration of the CR-Vs' rear seat belt buckles.
- As discussed above, Plaintiff and the members of the Class purchased CR-Vs directly from Defendant and/or its authorized agents. Plaintiff 2:18-cv-6043-GW-AFM Amended Class Action Complaint 28

9

12

11

13 14

16

15

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

24 25

26 27

28

and members of the Class were injured in fact and lost money as a result of such acts of unfair competition.

- 90. The injuries suffered by Plaintiff and Class members are greatly outweighed by any potential countervailing benefit to consumers or to competition, nor are they injuries that Plaintiff and Class members should have or could have reasonably avoided.
- 91. Defendant received the funds paid by Plaintiff and the members of the Class. Defendant profited by misrepresenting the properties of the CR-Vs that it otherwise would not have sold. Defendant's revenues attributable thereto are, thus, directly traceable to the substantial dollars paid out by Plaintiff and the Class for the CR-Vs.
- Unless Defendant is enjoined from continuing to engage in the unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business acts and practices as described herein, which conduct is ongoing, Plaintiff and the Class will continue to be injured by Defendant's conduct.
- 93. Defendant, through its acts of unfair competition, has acquired money from the Class members. Plaintiff and the Class request this Court to enjoin Defendant from continuing to violate the UCL.
- The unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent conduct described herein is 94. ongoing and continues to this date. Plaintiff and the Class, therefore, are entitled to relief described below as appropriate for this cause of action.

Breach of Express Warranty On Behalf of the Nationwide Class

- 95. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every preceding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.
- 96. As an express warrantor, manufacturer, and merchant, Defendant has certain obligations pursuant to its warranty to repair and replace defects.
- 97. When Plaintiff and the members of the Class purchased and/or 2:18-cv-6043-GW-AFM Amended Class Action Complaint

13 14

16

17

15

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

27

28

¹⁹ See Warranty Booklets, supra note 12.

- leased the CR-Vs with the backseat seat belt configuration, Defendant expressly warranted under its warranty that it would properly "repair or replace any part that is defective in material or workmanship under normal use" for "3 years or 36,000 miles, whichever comes first."19
- Defendant also expressly warranted the seat belts for 15 years or 98. 150,000 miles and states that "Honda believes that the best way to enhance your safety is to use your seat belt."
- However, Honda sells its CR-V knowing that if there is a car seat in 99. the rear, there cannot be two others safely buckled in seat belts as warranted by Honda so that the Plaintiff and class members are deprived of one of the warranted safety features of the CR-V.
- 100. The backwards configuration of the backseat seat belts at issue in this litigation was present at the time of sale and/or lease to Plaintiff and members of the Class.
- 101. Defendant breached the warranty (and continues to breach the warranty) because it wrongfully, uniformly, and repeatedly refuses to repair the backseat seat belt configuration, forcing Plaintiff and the members of the Class to either seat a maximum of two backseat passengers in their CR-Vs simultaneously or risk the safety of their passengers by having two of their backseat passengers use twisted and overlapping seat belts, which its own authorized representative deemed to be unsafe. (See Exhibit 1.)
- 102. Plaintiff and the Class members used their CR-Vs in a manner consistent with their intended use and performed each and every duty required under the terms of the warranty including presentment, except as may have been excused or prevented by the conduct of Defendant or by operation of law in light of Defendant's unconscionable conduct described throughout this Complaint.
 - 103. Defendant received timely notice regarding the problems at issue in

3

6 7

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

this litigation and, notwithstanding such notice, has failed and refused to offer an effective remedy.

- 104. In addition, upon information and belief, Defendant received numerous complaints, notice of the misconfiguration and resulting safety issue, and requests for warranty coverage from other members of the Class.
- 105. In its capacity as a supplier and/or warrantor, and by the conduct described herein, any attempt by Defendant to disclaim or otherwise limit its express warranties in a manner that would exclude or limit coverage for the seat belt configuration that was present at the time of sale, which Defendant knew about prior to offering the CR-Vs for sale, and which Defendant did not disclose and did not remedy prior to sale (or afterward), is unconscionable, and Defendant should be estopped from pursuing such defenses.
- 106. Further, any such effort by Defendant to disclaim or otherwise limit liability for the defect is null and void because Defendant and its authorized agents, the dealers, have wrongfully, uniformly, and repeatedly refused and failed to properly repair or replace the seat belts.
- 107. As such, Defendant should be estopped from disclaiming liability for its actions.
- 108. Accordingly, Plaintiff and the Class members have suffered damages caused by Defendant's breach of its warranty and are entitled to recover damages as set forth herein.

Violation of Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive **Business Practices Act, 815 ILCS 505/2** In the Alternative, On Behalf of the Illinois State Class

- 109. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every preceding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.
 - 110. The ICFDBPA makes it unlawful to employ:

Unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

practices, including but not limited to the use or employment of any deceptive fraud, false pretense, false promise, misrepresentation or the concealment, suppression or omission of such material fact, with intent that others rely upon the concealment, suppression or omission of such material fact, or the use or employment of any practice described in Section 2 of the "Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act," approved August 5, 1965, in the conduct of any trade or commerce are hereby declared unlawful whether any person has in fact been misled, deceived or damage thereby.

815CS 505/2.

- 111. As detailed throughout this Complaint, Defendant misrepresented that the CR-Vs have a seating capacity of five with 3-point seat belts at all seating positions, when, in fact, they do not, because if there is one car seat, or if there are three adult backseat passengers, all three cannot simultaneously buckle their seat belts safely without overlapping or twisting at least one of the seat belts, in direct contradiction to Defendant's marketing and advertisements.
- 112. Defendant violated Section 505/2 of the ICFDBPA by misrepresenting the efficacy of the passive safety features in the CR-Vs. Defendant made the misrepresentations described throughout this Complaint with the intent that Plaintiff and the Illinois State Class rely on them and purchase or lease the CR-Vs.
- 113. Plaintiff and the Illinois State Class were damaged by Defendant's violation and would not have purchased the CR-Vs, or would not have paid the purchase price they did, had the facts been known.

Violation of the Illinois Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act 815 ILCS 510/2, et seq., In the Alternative, On Behalf of the Illinois State Class

114. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every preceding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.

7 8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

- The IUDTPA makes it unlawful to "advertise[] goods or services with intent not to sell them as advertised." 815 ILCS 510/2(a)(9). It is also unlawful to "engage[] in any other conduct which similarly creates a likelihood of confusion or misunderstanding." Id. at (a)(12). A plaintiff, "[i]n order to prevail in an action under this Act . . . need not prove competition between the parties or actual confusion or misunderstanding." *Id.* at (b).
- 116. As detailed throughout this Complaint, Defendant deceptively marketed and advertised the CR-Vs as having a seating capacity of five with 3point seat belts at all seating positions, when, in fact, they do not, because if there is one car seat, or if there are three adult back seat passengers, all three cannot simultaneously buckle their seat belts safely without overlapping or twisting at least one of the seat belts, in direct contradiction to Defendant's marketing and advertisements.
- 117. Defendant violated Section 510/2 of the IUDTPA by misrepresenting the availability of its passive safety features in the CR-Vs. Defendant's misrepresentation, as described throughout this Complaint, created a likelihood of confusion on the part of consumers regarding the number of passengers they could safely transport in their CR-Vs.
- 118. Plaintiff and the Illinois State Class were damaged by Defendant's violation and their subsequent purchases of CR-Vs. Plaintiff and the members of the Illinois State Class would not have purchased the CR-Vs, or would not have paid the purchase price they did, had the facts been known.

Breach of Express Warranty In the Alternative, On Behalf of the Illinois State Class

119. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every preceding paragraph as though fully set forth herein.

7

5

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

27

- 120. As an express warrantor, manufacturer, and merchant, Defendant had certain obligations pursuant to its warranty to repair and replace defects.
- 121. When Plaintiff and the members of the Class purchased and/or leased the CR-Vs with the backseat seat belt misconfiguration, Defendant expressly warranted, under its warranty, that it would properly "repair or replace any part that is defective in material or workmanship under normal use" for "3 years or 36,000 miles, whichever comes first."20
- 122. Defendant also expressly warranted the seat belts for 15 years or 150,000 miles and states that "Honda believes that the best way to enhance your safety is to use your seat belt."
- 123. However, Honda sells its CR-V knowing that if there is a car seat in the rear, there cannot be two others safely buckled in seat belts as warranted by Honda so that the Plaintiff and class members are deprived of one of the warranted safety features of the CR-V.
- 124. The backwards configuration of the backseat seat belts at issue in this litigation was present at the time of sale and/or lease to Plaintiff and members of the Class.
- 125. Defendant breached its warranty (and continues to breach its warranty) because it wrongfully, uniformly, and repeatedly refuses to repair the CR-V's backseat seat belt configuration, forcing Plaintiff and the members of the Class to either only seat a maximum of two backseat passengers in their CR-Vs simultaneously, or risk the safety of their passengers by having two of their backseat passengers use twisted, caught or overlapping seat belts.
- 126. Plaintiff and the Class members used their CR-Vs in a manner consistent with their intended use and performed each and every duty required under the terms of the warranty including presentment, except as may have been

²⁰ See Warranty Booklets, supra note 12.

3

7

6

9

8

11 12

10

13

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

excused or prevented by the conduct of Defendant or by operation of law in light of Defendant's unconscionable conduct described throughout this Complaint.

- 127. Defendant received timely notice regarding the problems at issue in this litigation and, notwithstanding such notice, has failed and refused to offer an effective remedy.
- 128. In addition, upon information and belief, Defendant received numerous complaints, notice of the misconfiguration and resulting safety issue, and requests for warranty coverage from other members of the Class.
- 129. In its capacity as a supplier and/or warrantor, and by the conduct described herein, any attempt by Defendant to disclaim or otherwise limit its express warranties in a manner that would exclude or limit coverage for the seat belt misconfiguration that was present as of the time of sale, which Defendant knew about prior to offering the CR-Vs for sale, and which Defendant did not disclose and did not remedy prior to sale (or afterward), is unconscionable, and Defendant should be estopped from pursuing such defenses.
- 130. Further, any such effort by Defendant to disclaim or otherwise limit liability for the defect is null and void because Defendant and its authorized agents, the dealers, have wrongfully, uniformly, and repeatedly refused and failed to properly repair or replace the seat belt buckles.
- 131. As such, Defendant should be estopped from disclaiming liability for its actions.
- 132. Accordingly, Plaintiff and Class members have suffered damages caused by Defendant's breach of the warranty and are entitled to recover damages as set forth herein

In the Alternative, On Behalf of the Illinois State Class

133. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every preceding

paragraph as though fully set forth herein.

- 134. This claim is asserted on behalf of Plaintiff and the members of the Illinois State Class against Defendant.
- 135. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's deceptive and misleading conduct as set forth above, Defendant has been unjustly enriched.
- 136. Specifically, by its misconduct described herein, Defendant has accepted a benefit (monies paid by Plaintiff and Illinois State Class members).
- 137. It would be inequitable for Defendant to retain the profits, benefits, compensation, consideration, and other monies obtained by and from Defendant's wrongful and deceptive conduct in marketing and selling the CR-Vs to Plaintiff and the Illinois State Class, as detailed in this Complaint.
- 138. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, seeks restitution from Defendant and an Order of this Court proportionally disgorging all profits, benefits, compensation, consideration, and other monies obtained by Defendant from its wrongful conduct.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and members of the proposed Classes, prays for judgment as follows:

- a) Certification of the Class under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and appointment of Plaintiff as representative of the Class and his counsel as Class counsel;
- b) Compensatory and other damages for economic and non-economic damages;
- c) Awarding restitution and disgorgement of Defendant's revenues or profits to Plaintiff and the members of the proposed Class;
- d) An Order requiring Defendant to cease and desist from engaging in the alleged wrongful conduct and to engage in a corrective advertising campaign;
- e) Statutory pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on any amounts;

26

1		f)	Payment of reason litigation expenses law; and	nable attorneys' fees and recoverable s as may be allowable under applicable
2 3		g)	Such other relief a	as the Court may deem just and proper.
4	JURY DEMAND			
5	Digintiff domando o triol by increase all courses of a still and the first of the still and the stil			
6	Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all causes of action so triable.			
7	Dated:	October	15, 2018	Respectfully submitted,
8				Dry /a/Valin Tana
9				By: /s/ Kolin Tang Kolin Tang SHEDHERD, FINKELMAN, MILLER
10				SHEPHERD, FINKELMAN, MILLER & SHAH, LLP
11				11755 Wilshire Blvd, 15th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025
12				Los Angeles, CA 90025 Telephone: (323) 510-4060 Facsimile: (866) 300-7367
13				Email: ktang@sfmslaw.com
14				James C. Shah SHEPHERD, FINKELMAN, MILLER
15				& SHAH, LLP 35. E. State Street
16				Media, PA 19063 Telephone: (610) 891-9880 Facsimile: (866) 300-7367
17				Email: jshah@sfmslaw.com
18				Robert W. Murphy
19				MURPHY LAW FIRM 1212 SE 2 nd Ave.
20				Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33316 Telephone (954) 763-8660 Facsimile: (854) 763-8607
21				Email: rwmurphy@lawfirmmurphy.com
22				Ryan R. Frasher
23				THE FRASHER LAW FIRM, P.C. 3209 W. Smith Valley Road, Ste. 253 Greenwood, IN 46142
24				Telephone (317) 300-8844 Facsimile: (317) 218-4501
25				Email: rfrasher@frasherlaw.com
26				Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class
27				Ciuss
28				

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Kolin C. Tang, hereby certify that on October 15, 2018, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Amended Class Action Complaint and accompanying exhibits were served upon all counsel of record in this matter via ECF/CM.

/s/Kolin C. Tang Kolin C. Tang